



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/618,223	07/11/2003	Eric K. Mangiardi	37621/51301	4411
69821	7590	06/28/2010	EXAMINER	
MERIT MEDICAL SYSTEMS, INC.			LLOYD, EMILY M	
C/O STOEL RIVES, LLP			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ONE UTAH CENTER			3736	
201 SOUTH MAIN STREET -- SUITE 1100			MAIL DATE	
SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			06/28/2010	
			DELIVERY MODE	
			PAPER	

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/618,223	MANGIARDI ET AL.	

<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
EMILY M. LLOYD	3736	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Amended after Non-Final

(1) EMILY M. LLOYD.

(3) Matthew Bethards.

(2) Brian Szmal.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 June 2010

**Time:** 4 PM

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*35 USC 112 first paragraph and 35 USC 103(a)*

Claims discussed:

*1, 5, 7, 12 and 41*

Prior art documents discussed:

*Jain (US 5919147), Colvin (US 5010892), Haddock (US 6712771), Doi (US 6033359), Baxter-Jones (US 6450977) and Baumann (US 4972584)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The rejections of the 22 January 2010 Non-Final Office Action were discussed. Applicant agreed to file a Supplemental Amendment to address the issues discussed. Any amendments will be considered upon formal entry into the application*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Brian Szmal/  
 Examiner, Art Unit 3736

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)